

MYSORE APPROPRIATION (No. 2) BILL, 1962.

Introduction.

Sri B. D. JATTI (Minister for Finance).—I beg to introduce the Mysore Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1962.

Members' Representations.

Sri S. SIVAPPA (Sravanabelagola).—Before this Bill is taken up for consideration, I request the Chair to permit me to make a statement on a very important issue. I have sent a short notice question on this matter. ದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಮುಷ್ಕರ ಹೂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಹಾಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅದೇನಾಯಿತೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಹೇಳಲಿಲ್ಲ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—After the Appropriation Bills are disposed of, I request the Minister for Education to make a statement.

Sri S. R. KANTHI (Minister for Education).—After lunch I will make a statement.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Yes, you can do so after lunch.

MYSORE APPROPRIATION (No. 2) BILL, 1962.

Motion to consider.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—I beg to move :

“That the Mysore Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1962, be taken into consideration.”

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved :

“That the Mysore Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1962, be taken into consideration.”

†Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA (Palya).—On a point of order. These Appropriation Bills (Nos. 2 & 3) are sought to be introduced and considered. I should say that there is no constitutional sanctity or support for the introduction and consideration of these Bills, for the reason that this is not covered under any of the provisions of the Constitution. While the regular Appropriation Bills that are moved here and considered and for which the House gives sanction are covered under article 204, this is a demand made for expenditure of an amount which is in

excess of the amount that was sanctioned by this House. The other day this House gave approval to the expenditure incurred in excess. Now there is no provision for an Appropriation Bill to be brought and passed by this House because there is no question of appropriating any money now. An Appropriation Bill has got to be placed before this House if the intention is to appropriate moneys from the Consolidated Fund. Now the money has already been appropriated, already drawn and spent.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Before you proceed further, you will pardon me if I invite your attention to clause (2) of article 205.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Clause (2) reads as follows :

“The provisions of articles 202, 203 and 204 shall have effect in relation to any such statement and expenditure or demand and also to any law to be made authorising the appropriation of moneys out of the Consolidated Fund of the State ”

This applies only to articles 202, 203 and 204. When the money is already drawn and appropriated, where is the question of getting a law passed ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—If it is to be applied to the general appropriation with regard to a budget, do you think the repetition of articles 202 and 203 is in anyway necessary or does it lead to a contrary conclusion that you are canvassing ? What is the effect of making mention of articles 202, 203 and 204 and embodying them in 205, if it does not relate to supplementary grants or excess grants ? I do not think we need discuss it. If you are keen on it, I will take note and give a ruling.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Government have taken the sanction of the House for additional and supplementary expenditure in the course of the year several times and they have not brought in any appropriation Bill, getting sanction of the House.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Have you got any instance in mind where the grants have not been followed by any Appropriation Bill—budget grant, supplementary grant or excess grant ? If you point